		Page 1
1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT	
2	OF THE 11TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	
3	IN AND FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA	
4	CIRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION	
5	CASE NUMBER: 2018-011899 CA 01 (08)	
6		
7	NEIL CUEVAS,	
8	Plaintiff,	
9	VS.	
10	CITY OF NORTH MIAMI,	
11	Defendant.	
12	/	
13		
14	PROCEEDINGS HAD AND TAKEN PLACE	
15	BEFORE	
16	THE HONORABLE MARTIN ZILBER	
17		
18	MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COURTHOUSE	
19	73 WEST FLAGLER STREET, ROOM DCC 800	
20	MIAMI, FLORIDA 33130	
21		
22	JUNE 12, 2019	
23		
24	9:45 A.M 10:14 A.M.	
25		

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	
2		
3	On Behalf of the Plaintiff:	
4	MICHAEL A. PIZZI, ESQUIRE	
5	MICHAEL A. PIZZI, P.A.	
6	6625 MIAMI LAKES DRIVE EAST, SUITE 316	
7	MIAMI LAKES, FLORIDA 33014	
8		
9	BENEDICT KUEHNE, ESQUIRE	
10	KUEHNE DAVIS LAW, P.A.	
11	100 SOUTHEAST 2nd STREET, SUITE 3550	
12	MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131	
13		
14	On Behalf of the Defendant:	
15	MICHAEL KANTOR, ESQUIRE	
16	WEISS SEROTA HELFMAN COLE & BIERMAN	
17	200 EAST BROWARD BLVD, SUITE 1900	
18	FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA 33301	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

305-376-8800

1	(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had)
2	THE COURT: Okay. Let's have everybody
3	announce for the record please?
4	MR. KUEHNE: Good morning, Your Honor, for
5	the plaintiff Neil Cuevas, Ben Kuehne and
6	Michael Pizzi, counsel of record.
7	MR. KANTOR: Good morning, Your Honor,
8	Michael Kantor from Weiss Serota, on behalf of
9	the City of North Miami.
LO	THE COURT: Okay. Great. So, like I said
L1	I've gone through all this, but let's take ten
L2	minutes aside or less if you need it to make an
L3	argument to me, and then we'll move forward. So
L 4	since it's your motion, why don't you go first.
L 5	MR. PIZZI: Thank you, Your Honor, good
L6	morning.
L 7	MR. KUEHNE: Good morning, judge. Great to
L 8	see you again, Your Honor.
L9	THE COURT: Nice to see you as well.
20	MR. PIZZI: First time seeing outside the
21	justice center. Right to go back there now.
22	THE COURT: At some point maybe I'll go
23	back to criminal. We'll see how you all keep
24	behaving over here. But anyway go ahead.

MR. PIZZI: I'll tell them you said hello.

800-726-7007

Briefly, Your Honor, you know our position is there was a well pleaded complaint that clearly stated the cause of action under Florida

Section 112.31887, Florida whistle blower statue, and we believe that your predecessor judge made an incorrect ruling, and we're going to just take a few minutes, and amplify what's in our pleadings, and explain why that's the case.

THE COURT: And also explain why, it's certainly within the rights, so I don't like to act as an appellate judge, why this wouldn't be an issue when a judge rules to go to the appellate, as opposed to having me reconsider one of my colleagues.

MR. PIZZI: Well, it's our position that a successor judge, at all times, has the right to make its own rulings, whether it's summary judgement, or a motion to dismiss. Every successor judge in the state of Florida has an absolute right, and Mr. Kuehne may amplify this when I conclude, but every successor judge has an absolute right to reconsider a ruling he made, or a ruling a prior judge made, and there's no -- there's no magic rule that says

2.

2.2

we have to meet some special burden. If this Court -- the whole goal here is to seek the truth and achieve justice. If this Court -- if we convince this Court the prior judge made an incorrect ruling, and you disagree, this Court has every right to do the right thing. Nothing in Florida law prevents any judge from doing the right thing as they see fit.

THE COURT: Nothing precludes it, but you can imagine the issue if every time a new judge took over a division, everybody brought in a motion to reconsider 'cause they didn't like the prior ruling.

MR. PIZZI: We think in this case, Judge, we don't think the judges should willy-nilly over turn every ruling, but you know, new judge came in, would have to reconsider everything, but we think -- but the motion to reconsider, I believe was filed when the prior judge was still here, and we think in a case like this where the record is so overwhelmingly and abundantly clear, that there was an incorrect interpretation of an incorrect reading of the complaint, and an incorrect application of Florida law, in a case where the prior judge's

2.

2.2

ruling was clearly incorrect on the record. Any judge should correct that and allow the case to go forward, especially in a case where the ruling was on a motion to dismiss, where you know, the prevailing law --

THE COURT: Pretty high standard on a motion to dismiss.

MR. PIZZI: It's a pretty high standard, So, you know, briefly, Judge, I know you read the pleadings. Under Florida statue -- here's why we think this is one of those cases. Under Florida statue 112.3187 --

THE COURT: I mean it kind of lays on who has the right to bring a whistle blower, right?

MR. PIZZI: It says -- here's what's required under 112.3187. I think I've done 25 of these in the past four years, and I have three currently pending, and I've litigated them. So I'm very familiar with the statue.

Under 112.3187, the only thing that's required is to show, number one that the person is an employee of an agency, you know, a state or county, city agency, municipality governed by the statue. So they've got to show that they were an employee, that they worked for an

2.

2.2

agency under the statue with like a city or a county. They have to show that they either, one, disclosed in writing some evidence of malfeasance or misfeasance, or gross mismanagement. Or they've got to show that they participated in some investigation into one of those areas by an agency having the right to investigate that, and finally they have to show that there was some adverse action taken against them. They go fired, they got transferred, they got demoted, they were deprived of benefits in retaliation for blowing the whistle. So as long as you show that you were an employee working for an agency, and that you blew the whistle, reported malfeasance, misfeasance, gross mismanagement, or even that you just participated in an investigation into that area, and that you received an adverse action in retaliation, under Florida law, the causation is very liberally construed, almost as soon at the pleading stage in favor of the plaintiff, because this is a remedial statue. It's designed to encourage people to come forward. In the amended complaint, which the Court has

in front of it, judge this is not the typical whistle blower complaint that I've filed, and is filed -- that may have merely meets the elements. This is not just a whistle blower complaint. It just gives a bare bones statement of the elements, which is all that is needed --

THE COURT: That's all you would need though anyway.

MR. PIZZI: This complaint is approximately 30 pages, and approximately 100 paragraphs. We submitted a 96 paragraph, 27-page complaint going well beyond the pleading standards. And in that complaint, I'm going to point out the following. If the Court turns to paragraph -- paragraph one of the complaint on page one, the amended complaint, if the Court looks at the first amendment complaint, page one, paragraph one, it states as follows; this is a Florida whistle blower complaint arising from the City of North Miami's retaliatory demotion, and other adverse action against a former assistant police chief for reporting misconduct of senior officials in connection with horrific, and unjustified

800-726-7007

1

2.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

police shootings. So the first paragraph in and of itself says, what is this? Well, an employee of the agency --

THE COURT: Just so you don't read a lot --

MR. PIZZI: What?

THE COURT: Three more minutes. Ten minutes each side. I mean I read everything.

I'm just asking something new, so you can read if you want for the next three minutes.

MR. PIZZI: No, I'm not going to read it --

THE COURT: But if there's anything else you want to say, tell me.

MR. PIZZI: There's also a highlight, paragraph five says the same, that this is an action in -- under Florida Statue 3.187, because he reported malfeasance, and misconduct, and he was demoted, and they delayed his promotion. They denied him promotional benefit for about nine months. He was demoted from police chief down to Sargent, because he reported malfeasance, and misconduct on the part of the agency. So, and I would just highlight two more paragraphs briefly without

2.

2.2

reading them, and that is in paragraph 23, it states that when Cuevas reviewed the disposition manual, he found, among other things, plainly acts of gross mismanagement, malfeasance, misconduct, and illegality on the part of the city. In paragraph 24, it states in his memo, and in verbal reports, he blew the whistle on pervasive misconduct, and refused to participate in adverse actions. So the complaint ad nauseam, in almost 100 paragraphs over approximately 30 pages, repeatedly pleads the following. Under statue 112.3187, he was an employee in an agency, he engaged in protected conduct by reporting verbally, and in writing, verbally and in writing malfeasance, misconduct, gross mismanagement, and participating in investigations, and as a result of that, he was demoted from assistant police chief, the number two job in the agency, all the way down to Sergeant, and also had other multiple other acts of retaliation, which are outline in the complaint, i.e. page 33 and 40 in particular; page 33 states, in direct retaliation for his whistle blowing memorandum

1 THE COURT: One more minute.

2.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. PIZZI: Yeah, he was -- he was demoted. I think the problem here is, so if you look at this complaint, it is not possible for any plaintiff to plead a whistle blower case under Florida law. We were entitled -- all of the allegations which were stated over these 30 pages, a hundred paragraphs, should have been assumed to be true, and we should have been given every reasonable (unintelligible) to the stage of the pleading. The Court stated among other things, that he was amending the complaint because he went outside the pleadings, and assumed that he didn't have the authority or the authorization to write this memo, which is not an element to the statue number one, and --

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If I have any more questions I'll let you know.

MR. PIZZI: It's outside the scope. So we ask you to deny the motion to dismiss.

THE COURT: Counsel, you ready?

MR. KANTOR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ten minutes. Again,
I've read everything guys. If you're going to

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

give me all of this, I'm going to read it, be able to cut off, but ten minutes if you explain something new.

2.

2.2

MR. KANTOR: I will be much shorter than that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Whatever you want, go ahead.

Ten minutes.

MR. KANTOR: King Solomon famously observed that there's nothing new under the sun. Think you'd be happy to see that his observation remains correct. Plaintiffs have failed to raise any new information. Any new facts. Any new arguments that were not contained in the complaint --

THE COURT: Okay. But they're not claiming they're raising anything new. They're claiming that the decision was wrong.

MR. KANTOR: You're right, Judge. But all their citing in support of that are allegations in the complaint, which Judge Murphy reviewed. Judge Murphy granted the first motion to dismiss, and order them to attach the so called whistle blower memo as an exhibit to their complaint. The reason why he dismissed the amended complaint with prejudice is because

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

that exhibit to the complaint negated all of those beautiful allegations that Mr. Pizzi just recited, and as we know --

THE COURT: Even if that's true, how is that a motion to dismiss? If the complaint alleges it all correctly, if there's another memo that says something opposite, it's still alleged, maybe, maybe that's a summary judgement issue, but how is that a motion to dismiss issue?

MR. KANTOR: Because Florida law holds that the exhibit to a complaint supersedes the allegations of the complaint. In other words, if I allege --

THE COURT: And what exhibits are you referring to?

MR. KANTOR: The exhibits of the complaint was the quote/unquote -- whistle blower memorandum that the plaintiff was claiming protectionism. He said here's my memo, this is why I should have protection under the whistle blower statute. He attached that memo to the complaint. Judge Murphy reviewed the memo --

THE COURT: Where's that memo? Maybe it's something I read. Where's the memo? Someone

2.

2.2

1 tell me where it is?

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. KANTOR: It's attached to the amended complaint as an exhibit.

THE COURT: And what -- why --

MR. KANTOR: In this book I just provided --

THE COURT: Well, you can tell me in the book, or you just handed me amended complaint, so I'm assuming it's this, right? Towards the end somewhere?

MR. KANTOR: Page 20 -- Exhibit A, page 29, Judge.

THE COURT: Exhibit A, page 29. Okay, keep going. I got it.

MR. KANTOR: Fourth paragraph.

THE COURT: I got it. Keep going.

MR. KANTOR: So, Judge Murphy already addressed all of the issues that have been raised here. Their argument simply amounts to an argument that Judge Murphy must not have heard them the first time around. That's not an appropriate basis for a motion for rehearing under rule 1.530. Contrary to Mr. Pizzi's assertion, there are standards for these things. As Your Honor observed, a successor

800-726-7007

judge is not empowered in the same way that a district court of appeal is empowered. If we have a parties filing motions for rehearing every time a case changes which judicial section it's assigned to, then you know, judicial progress will grind to a halt immediately.

THE COURT: I completely agree, however, at the end of the day we are ultimately here to try to do what is right, not what is expedient, but go ahead.

MR. KANTOR: Absolutely, we are here to do what is right, and that's why there is a rule of civil procedure that allows for revisiting an issue, for rehearing an issue when new information is presented. As the third district court of appeal has held, the purpose of rule 1.530 governs motions for rehearing such as this one, is quote, to give the trial court an opportunity to consider matters it overlooked, or failed to consider, unquote. Now, Mr. Pizzi has not raised anything new that Judge Murphy overlooked or failed to consider, either on the original motion to dismiss, or on the motion to dismiss the amended complaint. There's simply

2.

2.2

nothing new here. The -- you know, allegation that the Court opposed some additional requirement, that authorization is not supported by the record, and moreover, even if the judge was wrong about that, even if Judge Murphy was wrong, and imposed some additional statutory requirement, which you know, is not supported by the record at all. Even if he did, the plaintiffs still don't address any of the other numerous basis for dismissing the complaint that Judge Murphy pointed to. Specifically, the order of dismissing the complaint, also observed that there was a lack of a causal connection alleged in the company. I mean the plaintiff simply couldn't do that. Moreover, Judge, he observed specifically on the record at the hearing that all of the hyperbolic allegations in the complaint itself could not make a square peg fit into a round hole, and that's exactly 10:14.33 that Judges Murphy used.

THE COURT: So what is whistle blow?

MR. KANTOR: A whistleblower act, Judge,
is similar to Mr. Pizzi outlined, and I grant
him, you know his knowledge of the statute is

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 correct.

2.

2.2

THE COURT: Pretty sure statute's right.

MR. KANTOR: Right, it's a disclosure of a violation of law, rule, and regulation, or a misfeasance, malfeasance or gross neglect.

THE COURT: Okay. So what's the whistleblower statute there for?

MR. KANTOR: The whistleblower statute is there to protect employees who make such disclosures under enumerated circumstances, which I think Mr. Pizzi may have left off in the interest of expedience.

THE COURT: Okay. So what do you think those are? I mean it basically goes down to whether this employee was authorized. Did he work for the department that he was complaining or accusing? Did he have a ability to review something, and then bring it to his superiors, and show that there was wrongdoing, and then finally, was there a possible harm as a result of the action he brought? Is that not the definition of whistleblower?

MR. KANTOR: That's certainly a good summary of it, absolutely.

THE COURT: Okay, so let's go through this

again now. He was a assistant chief, right?

MR. KANTOR: He was an assistant --

THE COURT: He did the memo in regards to police business, or police misconduct, correct?

MR. KANTOR: That's not the standard for what's protective of the whistleblower statue.

THE COURT: I didn't ask you that. That's in his employ, and that's what he brought the memo on, correct?

MR. KANTOR: I mean he --

THE COURT: And did he not have adverse reaction as a result of it because he was demoted?

MR. KANTOR: No, absolutely not judge, and Judge Murphy specifically found that he did not.

THE COURT: So if you're an assistant chief, and then you go ahead and accuse your department of wrongdoing, and then you get demoted, I mean I'm not saying it's not a jury question, or it's not a fact question, or maybe it's a summary judgement, but on motion to dismiss, how's that not properly plead, and how is that not the intent of the whistle blower to protect, an employee that reports their

2.

2.2

employer, and then has an adverse reaction from it.

MR. KANTOR: So that quote/unquote adverse reaction was more than nine months after the protective disclosure. The supreme court --

THE COURT: Well, If I'm a police chief or city manager, I'm not going to do it the day after and make it obvious either.

MR. KANTOR: The act that this employee was complaining about was firing another employee, which was done the day after that employee complained about other stuff, which Mr. Pizzi knows because he represents that other employee as well in a separate federal lawsuit which has been resolved.

THE COURT: I mean I don't know about the day after, but if I remember correctly I thought it had to do with the improper, or alleged improper investigation of a shooting with another officer?

MR. KANTOR: Judge, the Supreme Court of the United States tells me that a three-month separation between the protected activity, and an adverse action is not enough to establish a causal connection, but anything more than three

2.

2.2

to four months does not establish a causal connection. Here we have a nine-month separation. They came back on the amended complaint, and tried to allege some intervening acts that took place within those nine-months, none of those were adverse actions.

THE COURT: Do we have any -- 'cause this I wouldn't have seen, if it's in there maybe I didn't see it. Do we have anything to show why he was demoted then?

MR. KANTOR: Judge, all I can say is that Judge Murphy specifically --

THE COURT: Do we have anything to show why he was demoted. Is there anything else that led to why he was demoted, if it wasn't related to this, a retaliatory?

MR. KANTOR: There are no other allegations in the complaint, which is what's relevant to a motion to dismiss establishing causation, which Judge Murphy specifically found the plaintiff could not establish, could not allege other than the motion to dismiss pleadings.

THE COURT: I don't know that that answered my question, but okay.

2.

2.2

MR. KANTOR: There's no other allegations
in the complaint that would establish causation
at all that Judge Murphy specifically
addressed -THE COURT: I'm stating that the causation

THE COURT: I'm stating that the causation is there unless there could be some sort of proof, or other memo, or other item from the city or the police department that shows why he was demoted if it wasn't for this reason, for retaliatory reasons.

MR. KANTOR: Judge, all I can say is that if the plaintiff's obligation to plead his claim, the facts alleged in the complaint --

THE COURT: And I told you I think the causation is there.

MR. KANTOR: But Judge Murphy --

THE COURT: So unless I see, well, Judge Murphy made one ruling.

MR. KANTOR: Right, and my understanding is that it's the district court of appeals job to tell Judge Murphy he was wrong. If there's new information --

THE COURT: Well that's a different issue, so you're telling me I don't have the authority to hear this reconsideration?

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 MR. KANTOR: Yes, Your Honor.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: Then it's not the district court of appeals job is it? It's both -- it could be either of our jobs.

MR. KANTOR: Well, if there's new information then it's certainly the trial courts prerogative to revisit that information on the interest of justice, but there's no new information.

THE COURT: So again, maybe I'm wrong, I don't think so, but I'm wrong every day, does it say somewhere that the only ability to reconsider and hear is if there's new information?

MR. KANTOR: Yes, judge.

THE COURT: Where?

MR. KANTOR: Rule 1.530, as well as many, many cases from the third district court of appeal. I've read earlier that from Balmoral Condo Association vs. Grimaldi, 107 So.3d 1149, that's a 2013 case from the third district court of appeal.

THE COURT: I know that -- I don't believe that's what it says, but let's look it up.
What's the site? Oh, it's probably in here.

1 Wasn't it in here?

2.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. KANTOR: Yes, I think it's in the order Judge.

THE COURT: Yeah, I remember, I remember somebody citing, okay.

MR. KANTOR: And I quoted directly from the case that the purpose of rule 1.530 is to give the trial court an opportunity to consider matters overlooked or failed to consider.

THE COURT: So let's read your own case. Broad grounds, number one, for rehearing under the rule of civil procedure governing motions to a new trial, rehearing amendment of final judgement include the contention that the final order conflicts with the governing law, and is otherwise simply wrong on the merits. So when you read that, again, I'd have to find all that, but when you read that it says nothing about anything new. It says nothing about something new. It clearly states to me, unless again I'm reading it wrong, and you can tell me why I am, that if you look at something, and it conflicts with the current governing law, or just wrong, 'cause it's clearly says otherwise simply wrong on the merits, then it's something

800-726-7007

to reconsider. So that's pretty clear in the case you gave me, and rule 1.530.

MR. KANTOR: Sir, the judge, the Court certainly observed that that was the starting point for the analysis under 1.1530, but then I believe if I'm looking at the right paragraph you were looking it, the Court also goes on that the important quote, importance of finality in any justice system, cannot be understated. It has long been recognized that for several reasons, litigation must at some point come to an end, end quote, and then the Court goes on to make its observation that under rule 1.530, these are the only bases for a party to move for rehearing, so while the Court certainly observed that justice sometimes requires re-visiting (sic) issues, and I'm not saying to the contrary, but the judicial interest in finality --

THE COURT: Okay. So I asked you a lot of questions. I took a little bit more of your time, but give me two or three more minutes and then we'll move on, okay?

MR. KANTOR: Yes, Your Honor, I think that I've certainly received my message about what

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the third DCA's holding is. It's the same holding as the fourth DCA on which this case law is faced with many other district courts of appeal, that the purpose of a motion for rehearing is address new information, the facts, and allegations in the complaint are nothing new. Judge Murphy specifically considered all of those, and while Mr. Pizzi feels that, and while the Court may feel that Judge Murphy got it wrong about those allegations, Judge Murphy already addressed those allegations, analyzed them, and the requirement and finality requires the Court to allow the third district court of appeals to address this issue. The plaintiff has already filed a notice of appeal. I'm sure Mr. Kuehne and Mr. Pizzi will have no difficulty in convincing the third district of the merits of their argument, if they are as correct as they believe they are. That's simply not what we're here for today.

THE COURT: Well then, perhaps you won't either if it goes the other way, right? Either way -- either way it goes to the same place probably, right?

1

2.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 MR. KANTOR: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And one minute each to summarize.

MR. PIZZI: Yeah, all we want you to do is -- all we want this Court to do is get it right, and do what the Court thinks is correct. The rules are pretty clear that if the Court looks at this matter, and believes the ruling was contrary to Florida law, the Court is mandated to do the right thing, and deny the motion to dismiss. You know, all of the arguments raised by opposing counsel would be great arguments in front of a jury at a trial, and maybe after a dozen depos, and a motion at a summary judgement stage, but nothing's going to change the following, and Your Honor just got it right. Your Honor said it several times. An employee, an employee wrote a memo and verbally, an employee verbally and in a memo reported misconduct that included a rigged report, a rigged panel that included perjury, misinformation, criminal activity, misinformation, half-truths, inconsistency, perjury, all sorts of misconduct, and after he reported it, he got fired from being a deputy

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

chief and was demoted three levels down; so an employee reported misconduct, and viola, lost his job. We plead the elements five times over, and under Florida law we're entitled to every reasonable inference. The Court's required to accept what I just said as being true. As being the gospel truth at the motion to dismiss phase. There's no basis for dismissing the complaint at this phase, and instead of having a costly appeal, we think we should go forward, because not to go forward in my opinion would be to read the whistleblowers statute out of existence. We met the elements. We plead our cause, and we'd like to --

THE COURT: And what about to the three to nine-month causation issue?

MR. PIZZI: I'm sorry?

2.

2.2

THE COURT: What about counsel point to the three and nine-month causation which apparently I don't know why, 'cause I can't get into Judge Murphy's mind, but apparently why he may not have found causation.

MR. PIZZI: Under Florida law, and cited in our pleadings, we allege, number one, we allege in our complaint, and the Court's

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

mandated to consider it as true, we say in our complaint five times, and the Court is required to consider it as true.

THE COURT: Did you find it necessary to put everything in five times? It's like it's -- never mind, go ahead.

MR. PIZZI: But we allege that the only reason for the termination, there is no basis for the termination, other than the whistleblowing activity, but in addition, over a dozen paragraphs we report multiple acts of adverse action against him, denying a promotion, an internal affairs complaint.

Denying him a merit pay increase, all leading up to the termination. So we show in our complaint in a dozen paragraphs how the day after he blew the whistle there was an internal affairs investigation against him. A month later he was denied a merit pay increase.

THE COURT: So you don't think it was a nothing happened, and nine-month later bang, you think it was a continuing, or you're arguing, I don't want to speak for you that's it a continuing, it was a continuing from two, three- weeks later retaliation act.

2.

2.2

MR. PIZZI: But more importantly, yes, and we plead that over 30 pages on a complaint, and we're entitled to --

THE COURT: It's way more than a minute but thank you.

MR. KANTOR: May I answer that?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KANTOR: Judge Murphy addressed those issues. He specifically looked at those allegations of intervening conduct such as the internal affairs investigation, which was allegedly commenced right away afterwards, Judge Murphy found that that was not immaterially adverse action as required under the statute, and so that intervening act or continuing cause, or whatever allegation Mr. Pizzi wants to you know, cast that language in, does not amount to satisfying the element of the statute. The only thing that happened that satisfied -- according to the allegations in the plaintiff's complaint, the only allegation in there that satisfied the requirement from materially adverse action, didn't happen for more than nine months, which as a matter of law, appropriate for motions so dismissed, is

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

not adequate to establish causation. This isn't a summary judgement issue. This isn't an issue for a jury. This is an issue of law. It is appropriate for Judge Murphy to consider our motion to dismiss. He did consider it on the motion to dismiss. Plaintiff has not brought anything new changing that.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? Ten seconds please.

MR. PIZZI: I would say one word, ten seconds --

THE COURT: Yes.

2.

2.2

MR. PIZZI: Under Florida law, the causation element is liberally construed, because it's a remedial statute. It's almost presumed. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

MR. KANTOR: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So a few things, all right? I mean, as I started off on everyone, to want to act as an appellate court for my colleagues, and or former colleagues. That being said, to me here looking at all these again, and I have to look at is as if it's new really more de novo in front of me, because that's what it is

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

once it comes back from a motion of rehearing, and I look at the issues. Motion to dismiss is a very, very high standard in premature stage to litigation. I agree litigation has to come to an end at some point, but not at a motion to dismiss, and when it's alleged, and all the facts are here. To me, this is, again, as I stated before I could be wrong, and we'll bring it up, but a classic example of a whistleblower. You have an employee. He went ahead and did an investigation. He reported malfeasance, or what he believed, even if it's not actual malfeasance, what he believed to be improper conduct and malfeasance, and then had a retaliatory action. Now, maybe the action took place nine-months later. Maybe it took place three- weeks later, those are not in this Court's opinion motion to dismiss issues. They're either factual for a jury, or perhaps if the law can come out on it later at summary judgement, but there's been enough alleged in the complaint to show that there wasn't a nine-month lag time. That there was causation right away, and that it was a multiple issue of causation. So at this time I am granting the

24

motion to reconsider. I am denying the previous motion to dismiss --

MR. KANTOR: Judge, may I request that we have a rehearing on the motion to dismiss --

THE COURT: No.

MR. KANTOR: Because there's a lot of argument in there.

THE COURT: No, no. I'm denying the motion to dismiss, and I'm going to allow the litigation to go forward. We can and will if necessary handle these issues, if they're appropriate at summary judgement, and if they come factual issues as proven out, then it will go to a jury. As you both stated, this may or may not be something that another Court looks at. If it does we'll address that at that time, but to me, clearly on the fact, this is what the whistle blower statute is there for, and I'm letting the case go forward.

MR. KANTOR: How much time to respond to the complaint?

THE COURT: How much time do you need?

MR. KANTOR: Judge, I don't know where in
the complaint says this happened less than nine
months --

2.

2.2

1	THE COURT: How much time do you need? Are
2	you arguing on what I just ruled on
3	MR. KANTOR: Twenty days, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Twenty days. Okay.
5	MR. KUEHNE: Would the Court like us to
6	prepare a written order, or send you a
7	THE COURT: Whatever you prefer. I mean if
8	you think you can write up all this, go ahead.
9	If you think you need the, the interpreter,
10	sorry, the court reporter, or time, then that's
11	fine, too. Just make sure you do it with a
12	cover letter, and show counsel. I mean
13	ultimately at the end of the day it's my order
14	obviously, but I still you know, would like to
15	have both sides look at it, and confer on it.
16	Okay?
17	MR. KUEHNE: No question. Judge, we'll
18	confer with Mr. Kantor and team
19	THE COURT: Probably better for this one.
20	MR. PIZZI: I'm headed back to the metro
21	justice building, Judge Miranda, and
22	THE COURT: Tell her I said hello. Tell my
23	colleagues over there I said Hi.
24	MR. PIZZI: It's good to see you, Your
25	Honor. Thank you.

		Page 34
1	THE COURT: Thank you. Nice to see	
2	everybody.	
3	(Thereupon, the proceeding concluded)	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

[& - argument] Page 36

&	3	19:24 28:12 29:14	31:21	
& 2:16	3.187 9:17	29:23 31:15,15	allegedly 29:12	
0	30 8:11 10:11 11:7	actions 10:9 20:6	alleges 13:6	
	29:2	activity 19:23	allow 6:2 25:14	
01 1:5	316 2:6	26:22 28:10	32:9	
01/11/2023 35:17	33 10:22,23	acts 10:4,21 20:5	allows 15:14	
08 1:5	33014 2:7	28:11	amended 7:25 8:17	
1	33130 1:20	actual 31:13	12:25 14:2,8 15:25	
1.1530 24:5	33131 2:12	ad 10:10	20:3	
1.530 15:18 22:17	33301 2:18	addition 28:10	amending 11:12	
23:7 24:14	3550 2:11	additional 16:2,6	amendment 8:18	
1.530. 14:23 24:2	4	address 16:9 25:5	23:13	
100 2:11 8:11 10:10		25:15 32:16	amount 29:18	
107 22:20	40 10:23	addressed 14:18	amounts 14:19	
10:14 1:24	6	21:4 25:11 29:8	amplify 4:7,21	
10:14.33 16:20	6625 2:6	adequate 30:1	analysis 24:5	
112.3187 6:12,20	7	adverse 7:9,19 8:22	analyzed 25:12	
10:12	73 1:19	10:9 18:11 19:1,3	announce 3:3	
112.3187. 6:16	8	19:24 20:6 28:12	answer 29:6	
112.31887 4:4		29:14,23	answered 20:25	
1149 22:20	800 1:19	affairs 28:13,18 29:11	anyway 3:24 8:9	
11th 1:2	9		apparently 27:20 27:21	
12 1:22	96 8:12	agency 6:22,23 7:1 7:7,14 9:3,24 10:13		
17342 35:13	9:45 1:24	10:19	appeal 15:2,17 22:19,22 25:4,16	
1900 2:17	a	agree 15:8 31:4	27:10	
2	a.m. 1:24,24	ahead 3:24 12:6	appeals 21:20 22:3	
20 14:11	ability 17:17 22:12	15:11 18:18 28:6	25:14	
200 2:17	able 12:2	31:11 33:8	appearances 2:1	
2013 22:21	absolute 4:21,23	allegation 16:1	appellate 4:12,14	
2018-011899 1:5	absolutely 15:12	29:16,21	30:21	
2019 1:22	17:24 18:14	allegations 11:7	application 5:24	
23 10:1	abundantly 5:22	12:19 13:2,13	appropriate 14:22	
24 10:6	accept 27:6	16:18 20:18 21:1	29:25 30:4 32:12	
25 6:16	accuse 18:18	25:6,11,12 29:10	approximately	
27 8:13	accusing 17:17	29:20	8:11,11 10:11	
283870 35:16	achieve 5:3	allege 13:14 20:4	area 7:18	
29 14:12,13	act 4:12 16:23 19:9	20:22 27:24,25	areas 7:7	
2nd 2:11	28:25 29:15 30:21	28:7	arguing 28:23 33:2	
	action 4:3 7:9,19	alleged 13:8 16:14	argument 3:13	
	8:23 9:17 17:21	19:19 21:13 31:6	14:19,20 25:19	
Veritert Legal Salutions				

[argument - contrary]

arguments 12:13 benefit 2:19 benefit 9:21 command 29:12 complained 19:12 complained 19:12 complained 19:12 complained 19:12 complaining 17:16 19:10 complaining 17:16 complain	32:7	ben 3:5	causation 7:20	comes 31:1
26:12,13 benefit 9:21 benefit 9:21 saide 27:16,19,22 30:1 commission 35:16 company 16:14 company 16:12 complaind 19:10 complaind 29:25 camplaind 19:10 complaind 19:10 complaind 29:25 complaind 19:10 complaind 29:25 complaind 19:10 complaind 29:25 complaind 19:10 complain 29:25 complaind 19:10 complain 21:2 complaind 29:12 complaind 29:12 complaind 29:12 complaind 29:22 sit,10 all 29:12 complaind	arguments 12:13	benedict 2:9	20:20 21:2,5,15	commenced 29:12
arising 8:21 aside benefits 7:12 better 33:19 casked 33:19 casked 33:19 casked 23:24 27:14,20 complained 19:10 complaining 17:16 casked 19:10 complaining 17:16 complaining 17:16 casked 23:24 27:14,20 complaining 17:16 complaining 17:16 casked 23:24 27:14,20 complaining 17:16 chail 17:17 complaining 17:16 chail 17:17 complaining 17:16		benefit 9:21		
aside 3:12 asked 24:20 asking 9:9 assertion 14:24 bit 24:21 assigned 15:5 assistant 8:23 21:17 association 22:20 blower 4:4 6:14 8:2 association 22:20 blower 4:4 6:14 8:2 assuming 14:9 assuming 14:9 attached 13:22 blowing 7:12 10:24 blow 2:17 authority 11:15 bones 8:5 bring 6:14 17:18 atthorization 11:15 16:3 authorization 12:19 bang 28:21 bare 8:5 bases 24:14 basis 14:22 16:10 27:8 28:8 beautiful 13:2 behalf 2:3;14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 better 33:19 cause 4:3 5:12 20:7 complained 19:12 complaining 17:16 complaining		benefits 7:12		company 16:14
asked 24:20 asking 9:9 beyond 8:13 bierman 2:16 bit 24:21 center 3:21 center 3:22 center 3:22 center 3:22 center 3:22 center 3:22 center 3:23 cent		better 33:19	· ·	
asking 9:9 bierman 2:16 29:16 19:10 assertion 14:24 bit 24:21 center 3:21 complaint 4:25:24 assistant 8:23 28:17 blow 16:22 528:17 17:23 22:6 24:4,16 8:17,17,19,21 10:10,22 11:4,13 assumed 11:9,14 8:4,20 11:5 12:23 assuming 14:9 13:18,22 18:24 certify 35:6 12:14,20,24,25 change 26:16 13:15,12,13,14 13:15,12,13,14 13:15,12,13,17,23 certify 35:6 change 26:16 13:15,12,13,14 13:15,12,13,17,23 change 26:16 13:15,12,13,17,23 change 26:16 change 26:16 13:15,12,13,17,23 change 26:16 change 26:16 13:15,12,13,17,23 change 26:16 change 26:16 13:15,12,13,17,23 change 26:11 change 26:11 27:25 22:2,13,16 change 26:11 27:25 28:2,13,16 change 26:11 27:25 28:2,13,16 change 26:11 27:25 28:2,13,16 27:25 28:2,13,16 <td>asked 24:20</td> <td>beyond 8:13</td> <td>23:24 27:14,20</td> <td></td>	asked 24:20	beyond 8:13	23:24 27:14,20	
assertion 14:24 assigned bit 24:21 blew center 3:21 certainly complaint 4:2 5:24 7:25 8:2,5,10,13,14 assistant 8:23 10:18 18:1,2,17 blow 16:22 16:22 22:20 blower 4:4 6:14 8:2 22:23 24:6 24:4,16 3:17,17,19,21 10:10,22 11:4,13 11:14,13 8:17,17,19,21 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 10:10,22 11:4,13 11:14,13 11:14,13 11:14,13 11:14,13 11:14,13 11:14,13 11:14,13 11:14,13 11:14,13 11:14,13 11:14,13 11:14,13 11:15,21,31,7,23 11:15,21,31,7,23 11:15,21,31,7,23 11:15,21,31,7,23 11:15,21,31,7,23 11:15,21,31,7,23 11:15,21,31,7,23 11:15,22,31,31,7,23 11:15,22,31,31,7,23 11:15,31,31,31,32 11:15,31,31,31,32 11:15,31,31,31,32 11:15,31,31,31,32 11:15,31,31,31,32 11:15,31,31,31,31	asking 9:9		29:16	
assigned 15:5 blew 7:15 10:7 certainly 4:11 7:25 8:2,5,10,13,14 assistant 8:23 28:17 17:23 22:6 24:4,16 8:17,17,19,21 10:10,22 11:4,13 association 22:20 blower 4:4 6:14 8:2 24:25 10:10,22 11:4,13 12:14,20,24,25 assuming 14:9 3:18,22 18:24 certify 35:6 change 26:16 16:13,18,20;4,18 12:14,20,24,25 36:11,5,12,13,17,23 12:14,20,24,25 14:3,8 15:25 16:11 12:14,20,24,25 14:3,8 15:25 16:11 14:3,8 15:25 16:11 16:13,18 20:4,18 21:24 changes 15:4 changes 15:4 21:21,13,17,23 21:22,13,16 22:23 29:22 21:21,13 25:6 27:9 27:25 28:2,13,16 29:12,21 31:22 27:25 28:2,13,16 29:12,21 31:22 27:22 23:13:22 27:12 23:21 27:12 23:21 27:12 23:21 27:12 23:21 27:13 22:13:22 27:13 22:13:22 27:13 22:13:23 <td></td> <td>bit 24:21</td> <td>center 3:21</td> <td>complaint 4:2 5:24</td>		bit 24:21	center 3:21	complaint 4:2 5:24
assistant 8:23 10:18 18:1,2,17 blow 16:22 24:25 10:10,22 11:4,13 association 22:20 assumed 11:9,14 8:4,20 11:5 12:23 certify 35:6 12:14,20,24,25 assuming 14:9 13:18,22 18:24 change 26:16 13:1,5,12,13,17,23 assuming 14:9 32:18 changes 15:4 14:3,8 15:25 16:11 attached 12:22 32:18 changes 15:4 14:3,8 15:25 16:11 authority 11:15 blowing 7:12 10:24 chief 8:23 9:22 10:19 18:1,18 19:6 21:24 book 14:5,8 circuit 1:1,4 29:2,21 31:22 authorization bring 6:14 17:18 17:10 29:2,21 31:22 11:15 16:3 bring 6:14 17:18 17:10 29:2,21 31:22 authorized 17:15 31:8 cited 27:23 conclude 4:22 back 3:21,23 20:3 31:1 33:20 broward 2:17 building 33:21 building 33:21 building 33:21 21:8 citim 21:15,16 21:8 conficts 23:15,23 connection 8:25 16:14 19:25 16:14 19:25 16:14 19:25 16:22 20:11 20:13 30:14 20:13 16:14 19:25 20:18 20:14 <td>assigned 15:5</td> <td>blew 7:15 10:7</td> <td>certainly 4:11</td> <td>_</td>	assigned 15:5	blew 7:15 10:7	certainly 4:11	_
10:18 18:1,2,17 association 22:20 blower 4:4 6:14 8:2 certify 35:6 casuming 14:9 assuming 14:9 attached 13:22 blowing 7:12 10:24 blowd 2:17 bones 8:5 book 14:5,8 cited 27:23 citing 12:19 23:20; atthorized 17:15 31:8 concluded 34:3 conflicts 23:15,23 connection 8:25 called 12:22 case 1:5 4:9 5:14,20 clasming 12:15,16 cases 2:5 2:22 case 1:5 4:9 5:14,20 clasming 12:15,16 cla		28:17	17:23 22:6 24:4,16	8:17,17,19,21
association 22:20 blower 4:4 6:14 8:2 certify 35:6 12:14,20,24,25 assumed 11:9,14 8:4,20 11:5 12:23 change 26:16 13:15,12,13,17,23 assuming 14:9 13:18,22 18:24 changes 15:4 changes 15:2 15:2 15:2 27:1 21:2 21:2 21:2 23:2 23:2 23:2 23:2 23:2 23:2 23:2 <t< td=""><td>10:18 18:1,2,17</td><td>blow 16:22</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	10:18 18:1,2,17	blow 16:22		
assumed 11:9,14 8:4,20 11:5 12:23 change 26:16 13:1,5,12,13,17,23 assuming 14:9 32:18 changes 15:4 14:3,8 15:25 16:11 attached 13:22 blowing 7:12 10:24 changing 30:7 16:13,18 20:4,18 authority 11:15 book 14:5,8 circuit 1:1,4 29:2,21 31:22 authorization briefly 4:1 6:9 9:25 circuit 1:1,4 completely 15:8 bing 6:14 17:18 31:8 circuit 1:1,4 completely 15:8 bing 6:14 17:18 31:8 circuit 1:1,4 completely 15:8 bing 6:14 17:18 31:8 broad 23:11 15:10:10:10:14 29:2,21 31:22 conclude 4:22 back 3:21,23 20:3 3:1:1 33:20 broward 2:17 building 33:21 civil 1:4 15:14 29:10 31:14 confer 33:15,18 bare 8:5 beautiful 13:2 26:2 <t< td=""><td></td><td>blower 4:4 6:14 8:2</td><td>certify 35:6</td><td></td></t<>		blower 4:4 6:14 8:2	certify 35:6	
assuming 14:9 attach 12:22 32:18 blowing 7:12 10:24 blvd 2:17 bones 8:5 briefly 4:1 6:9 9:25 bring 6:14 17:18 authorization authorized 17:15 31:8 brought 5:11 17:21 back 3:21,23 20:3 31:1 33:20 balmoral 22:19 bang 28:21 bare 8:5 bases 24:14 basis 14:22 16:10 27:8 28:8 beautiful 13:2 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 cause 16:14 19:25 come 7:24 24:12 23:24 concluding 23:14 confining 23:24 considered 25:8 considered 25:8 considered 25:8 confining 23:14 confining 23:24 confining 23:24 considered 25:8 considered 25:8 considered 25:8 confining 23:14 confining 23:24 confining 23:25 confining 23:25 confining 23:25 confining 23:24 confining 23:24 confining 23:24 confining 23:25 confining 23:24 confining 23:25 confining	assumed 11:9,14	8:4,20 11:5 12:23		
attach 12:22 32:18 blowing 7:12 10:24 blvd 2:17 changing 30:7 chief 8:23 9:22 10:19 18:1,18 19:6 16:13,18 20:4,18 21:2,13 25:6 27:9 authority 11:15 21:24 authorization 21:25 authorized 17:15 3:27 book 14:5,8 briefly 4:1 6:9 9:25 bring 6:14 17:18 broad 23:11 brought 5:11 17:21 18:8 30:6 broward 2:17 building 33:21 burden 5:1 business 18:4 circuit 1:1,4 conclude 4:22 concluded 34:3 citing 12:19 23:5 city 1:10 3:9 6:23 conduct 10:14 29:10 31:14 confect 33:15,18 civil 1:4 15:14 23:12 connection 8:25 laiming 12:15,16 13:19 claiming 12:15,16 13:19 classic 31:9 claiming 12:15,16 13:22 case 1:5 4:9 5:14,20 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 32:18 blowing 7:12 10:24 blowing 7:18 20:24 25:2 32:19 case 6:11 22:18 cast 29:17 causal 16:14 19:25 changing 30:7 chief 8:23 9:22 lo:19 18:1,18 19:6 27:25 28:2,13,16 29:2,21 31:22 concluded 34:3 circumstances circumstances circumstances circumstances 2:17 billiding 33:21 broad 23:11 broad 23:11 circuit 1:1,4 conclude 4:22 concluded 34:3 citing 12:19 23:5 city 1:10 3:9 6:23 condoc 22:20 conduct 10:14 23:12 20:13 1:24 confect 33:15,18 circuit 1:1,4 confect 34:3 circumstances 2:17 billiding 33:21 burden 5:1 18:8 30:6 broward 2:17 building 33:21 burden 5:1 23:12 claim 21:13 claiming 12:15,16 13:19 1	-			
attached 13:22 blowing 7:12 10:24 chief 8:23 9:22 21:2,13 25:6 27:9 authority 11:15 bones 8:5 27:1 29:2,21 3:22 27:25 28:2,13,16 21:24 book 14:5,8 circuit 1:1,4 32:21,24 completely 15:8 authorization briefly 4:1 6:9 9:25 circuit 1:1,4 32:21,24 completely 15:8 b broad 23:11 circuit 1:1,4 conclude 4:22 back 3:21,23 20:3 broad 23:11 conduct 10:19 18:1,18 19:6 29:25,21 3:16 29:22,21 3:122 completely 15:8 back 3:21,23 20:3 broad 23:11 citing 12:19 23:5 conclude 4:22 conduct 10:19 18:1,18 19:6 29:22,21 3:122 completely 15:8 conclude 4:22 conclude 4:22 conclude 4:22 conduct 10:14 29:10 3:14 conflicts 23:15,18 conflicts 23:15,18 conflicts 23:15,18 <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td>			_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14:2	attached 13:22	blowing 7:12 10:24		
21:24	14:2		10:19 18:1,18 19:6	27:25 28:2,13,16
21:24	authority 11:15	bones 8:5	27:1	29:2,21 31:22
11:15 16:3 authorized 17:15 31:8 31:8 broad 23:11 brought 5:11 17:21 18:8 30:6 broward 2:17 building 33:21 burden 5:1 business 18:4 23:12 case 1:5 4:9 5:14,20 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believed 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 broad 23:11 string 6:14 17:18 17:10 cited 27:23 concluded 34:3 condo 22:20 conduct 10:14 29:10 31:14 confer 33:15,18 conflicts 23:15,23 connection 8:25 claim 21:13 claiming 12:15,16 13:19 classic 31:9 cl	-	book 14:5,8	circuit 1:1,4	32:21,24
authorized 17:15 31:8 cited 27:23 concluded 34:3 b brought 5:11 17:21 citing 12:19 23:5 condo 22:20 back 3:21,23 20:3 18:8 30:6 5:11 17:21 21:8 conduct 10:14 balmoral 22:19 broward 2:17 building 33:21 building 33:21 civil 1:4 15:14 conflicts 23:15,23 bases 24:14 c c 35:1,1 called 12:22 claiming 12:15,16 15:23 23:8,9 28:1,3 basically 17:14 called 12:22 case called 12:22 case classic 31:9 classic 31:9 classic 30:4,5 considered 25:8	authorization	briefly 4:1 6:9 9:25	circumstances	completely 15:8
broad 23:11 brought 5:11 17:21 18:8 30:6 18:8 30:6 broward 2:17 building 33:21 burden 5:1 business 18:4 23:12 claim 21:13 20:24 beautiful 13:2 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believed 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 beautiful 19:25 causal 16:14 19:25 come 7:24 24:12 contarty 14:23 contarty 14:23 contarty 14:23 condo 22:20 conduct 10:14 29:10 31:14 confer 33:15,18 conflicts 23:15,23 connection 8:25 conflicts 23:15,23 connection 8:25 claim 21:13 classic 31:9 clas	11:15 16:3	bring 6:14 17:18	17:10	conclude 4:22
b brought 5:11 17:21 city 1:10 3:9 6:23 conduct 10:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 29:10 31:14 20:10 31:14	authorized 17:15	31:8	cited 27:23	concluded 34:3
back 3:21,23 20:3 18:8 30:6 7:1 8:21 10:6 19:7 29:10 31:14 balmoral 22:19 building 33:21 civil 1:4 15:14 conflicts 23:15,23 bare 8:5 business 18:4 23:12 connection 8:25 basically 17:14 c c claiming 12:15,16 15:20,21 basis 14:22 16:10 c 35:1,1 classic 31:9 clear 5:23 23:8,9 28:1,3 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 5:25 6:2,3 11:5 15:4 22:21 23:7,10 24:2 25:2 32:19 cole 2:16 contained 12:14 believe 4:5 5:19 cases 6:11 22:18 colleagues 4:15 contained 12:14 believed 31:12,13 cast 29:17 causal 16:14 19:25 28:24,24 29:16 believes 26:8 continuing 28:22 23:12 contained 12:14 25:25 6:2,3 11:5 30:21,22 33:23 23:24 23:24 24:24 29:1	35:7	broad 23:11	citing 12:19 23:5	condo 22:20
back 3:21,23 20:3 31:1 33:20 balmoral 22:19 bang 28:21 bare 8:5 bases 24:14 basically 17:14 basis 14:22 16:10 27:8 28:8 beautiful 13:2 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 broward 2:17 building 33:21 burden 5:1 business 18:4 c	b	brought 5:11 17:21	city 1:10 3:9 6:23	conduct 10:14
Stroward 2:17 building 33:21 burden 5:1 business 18:4 23:12 conflicts 23:15,23 connection 8:25 lossically 17:14 basically 17:14 basis 14:22 16:10 27:8 28:8 beautiful 13:2 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 consider 2:17 consider 33:15,18 conflicts 23:15,23 connection 8:25 lossic 23:15,23 connection 8:25 lossic 31:9 classic 31:9 classic 31:9 classic 31:9 classic 31:9 classic 31:9 clearly 4:2 6:1 23:20,24 32:17 colleagues 4:15 30:14 contained 12:14 contained 12:14 contained 12:14 contained 23:14 co	hack 3:21 23 20:3	18:8 30:6	7:1 8:21 10:6 19:7	29:10 31:14
balmoral 22:19 bang 28:21 bare 8:5 bases 24:14 basically 17:14 basis 14:22 16:10 27:8 28:8 beautiful 13:2 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 building 33:21 burden 5:1 23:12 claim 21:13 claiming 12:15,16 13:19 classic 31:9 classic 31:9 clear 5:22 24:1 26:7 clearly 4:2 6:1 23:20,24 32:17 considered 25:8 construed 7:21 30:14 contained 12:14 contained 12:14 contention 23:14 contrary 14:23 23:12 connection 8:25 16:14 19:25 20:2 consider 15:20,21 15:23 23:8,9 28:1,3 30:4,5 considered 25:8 construed 7:21 30:14 contained 12:14 c		broward 2:17	21:8	confer 33:15,18
bang 28:21 burden 5:1 23:12 connection 8:25 bases 24:14 c claiming 12:15,16 16:14 19:25 20:2 consider 15:20,21 basically 17:14 c c 35:1,1 classic 31:9 classic 31:9 classic 31:9 considered 25:8 construed 7:21 30:14 contained 12:14 contained 12:14 contained 12:14 contained 12:14 contained 12:14 contained 22:14 contained 23:14 contained 23:24:24:23:23:23 24:18:26:9 24:1		building 33:21	civil 1:4 15:14	conflicts 23:15,23
bare 8:5 bases 24:14 basically 17:14 basis 14:22 16:10 27:8 28:8 beautiful 13:2 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 business 18:4 c		burden 5:1	23:12	connection 8:25
bases 24:14 basically 17:14 basis 14:22 16:10 27:8 28:8 beautiful 13:2 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 c 35:1,1 ca 1:5 called 12:22 case 1:5 4:9 5:14,20 5:25 6:2,3 11:5 15:4 22:21 23:7,10 24:2 25:2 32:19 case 6:11 22:18 cast 29:17 causal 16:14 19:25 claiming 12:15,16 13:19 classic 31:9 classic 31:9 classic 31:9 clearly 4:2 6:1 26:7 clearly 4:2 6:1 23:20,24 32:17 cole 2:16 contained 12:14 contained 12:14 contained 12:14 contained 12:14 continuing 28:22 28:24,24 29:16 contrary 14:23 24:18 26:9		business 18:4	claim 21:13	16:14 19:25 20:2
basically 17:14 basis 14:22 16:10 27:8 28:8 beautiful 13:2 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 c 35:1,1 ca 1:5 called 12:22 case 1:5 4:9 5:14,20 5:25 6:2,3 11:5 15:4 22:21 23:7,10 24:2 25:2 32:19 case 6:11 22:18 cast 29:17 causal 16:14 19:25 c 13:19 classic 31:9 clear 5:22 24:1 26:7 clearly 4:2 6:1 23:20,24 32:17 cole 2:16 contained 12:14 contained 1		c	claiming 12:15,16	consider 15:20,21
basis 14:22 16:10 27:8 28:8 beautiful 13:2 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 called 12:22 case 1:5 4:9 5:14,20 5:25 6:2,3 11:5 15:4 22:21 23:7,10 24:2 25:2 32:19 cases 6:11 22:18 cast 29:17 causal 16:14 19:25 classic 31:9 clear 5:22 24:1 26:7 clearly 4:2 6:1 23:20,24 32:17 cole 2:16 contained 12:14 contained 12:14 contention 23:14 continuing 28:22 28:24,24 29:16 contrary 14:23 24:18 26:9		c 35·1.1	13:19	15:23 23:8,9 28:1,3
27:8 28:8 called 12:22 clear 5:22 24:1 considered 25:8 beautiful 13:2 case 1:5 4:9 5:14,20 5:25 6:2,3 11:5 clearly 4:2 6:1 30:14 behaving 3:24 15:4 22:21 23:7,10 24:2 25:2 32:19 cole 2:16 contained 12:14 believe 4:5 5:19 24:2 25:2 32:19 colleagues 4:15 continuing 28:22 believed 31:12,13 cast 29:17 come 7:24 24:12 28:24,24 29:16 believes 26:8 causal 16:14 19:25 31:4 20 32:13 24:18 26:9	•	*	classic 31:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
beautiful 13:2 behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 24:2 25:2 32:19 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:7 clearly 4:2 6:1 23:20,24 32:17 cole 2:16 colleagues 4:15 30:21,22 33:23 continuing 28:24,24 29:16 contrary 14:23 24:18 26:9			clear 5:22 24:1	considered 25:8
behalf 2:3,14 3:8 behaving 3:24 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 24:2 25:2 32:19 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 5:25 6:2,3 11:5 23:20,24 32:17 cole 2:16 colleagues 4:15 30:21,22 33:23 continuing 28:24,24 29:16 contrary 14:23 31:4 20 32:13			26:7	construed 7:21
behaving 3:24 believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 15:4 22:21 23:7,10 24:2 25:2 32:19 cases 6:11 22:18 cast 29:17 causal 16:14 19:25 23:20,24 32:17 cole 2:16 colleagues 4:15 30:21,22 33:23 come 7:24 24:12 31:4 20 32:13 24:18 26:9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
believe 4:5 5:19 22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 24:2 25:2 32:19 cases 6:11 22:18 cast 29:17 causal 16:14 19:25 cole 2:16 colleagues 4:15 30:21,22 33:23 come 7:24 24:12 31:4 20 32:13 contention 23:14 continuing 28:22 28:24,24 29:16 contrary 14:23 24:18 26:9		· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
22:23 24:6 25:20 believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 cases 6:11 22:18 cast 29:17 causal 16:14 19:25 cases 6:11 22:18 cast 29:17 causal 16:14 19:25 colleagues 4:15 30:21,22 33:23 come 7:24 24:12 31:4 20 32:13 continuing 28:22 28:24,24 29:16 contrary 14:23 24:18 26:9	_			
believed 31:12,13 believes 26:8 cast 29:17 causal 16:14 19:25 30:21,22 33:23 come 7:24 24:12 31:4 20 32:13 24:18 26:9				
believes 26:8 causal 16:14 19:25 come 7:24 24:12 contrary 14:23			· ·	· ·
$\begin{vmatrix} 31.4.20.32.13 & 24.18.26.9 \end{vmatrix}$	1			
			31:4,20 32:13	24:18 26:9

[convince - feel] Page 38

convince 5:4
convincing 25:18
correct 6:2 12:11
17:1 18:4,9 25:19
26:1,6 35:9
correctly 13:6
19:17
costly 27:10
counsel 2:1 3:6
11:22 26:12 27:18
33:12
county 1:3,18 6:23
7:2 35:4
court 1:1 3:2,10,19
3:22 4:10 5:2,3,4,5
5:9 6:6,13 7:25 8:8
8:15,18 9:4,7,13
11:1,11,18,22,24
12:6,15 13:4,15,24
14:4,7,13,16 15:2,8
15:17,19 16:2,22
17:2,6,13,25 18:3,7
18:11,17 19:5,6,16
19:21 20:7,13,24
21:5,14,17,20,23
22:2,3,10,16,18,22
22:23 23:4,8,10
24:3,7,13,16,20
25:9,13,14,22 26:2
26:5,6,7,9 27:15,18
28:2,4,20 29:4,7
30:8,12,17,19,21
32:5,8,15,22 33:1,4
33:5,7,10,19,22
34:1
court's 27:5,25
31:18
courthouse 1:18
courts 22:7 25:3
cover 33:12

criminal 3:23 26:22 **cuevas** 1:7 3:5 10:2 current 23:23 currently 6:18 **cut** 12:2

d

dade 1:3,18 35:4 **davis** 2:10 day 15:9 19:7,11,17 22:11 28:16 33:13 days 33:3,4 dca 25:2 dca's 25:1 dcc 1:19 **de** 30:24 decision 12:17 defendant 1:11 2:14 definition 17:22 delayed 9:20 **demoted** 7:11 9:19 9:22 10:18 11:3 18:13,20 20:10,14 20:15 21:9 27:1 demotion 8:22 **denied** 9:20 28:19 deny 11:21 26:10 denying 28:12,14 32:1,8 department 17:16 18:19 21:8 depos 26:14 deprived 7:12 **deputy** 26:25 designed 7:24 different 21:23 difficulty 25:17 **direct** 10:23 directly 23:6 disagree 5:5

disclosed 7:3 disclosure 17:3 19:5 disclosures 17:10 dismiss 4:19 6:4,7 11:21 12:22 13:5 13:10 15:24,25 18:23 20:19,22 26:11 27:7 30:5,6 31:2,6,18 32:2,4,9 dismissed 12:24 29:25 dismissing 16:10 16:12 27:8 disposition 10:3 **district** 1:2 15:2,16 21:20 22:2,18,21 25:3,14,18 **division** 1:4 5:11 doing 5:7 dozen 26:14 28:11 28:16 drive 2:6

e 35:1,1 earlier 22:19 east 2:6,17 either 7:2 15:23 19:8 22:4 25:23,23 25:24 31:19 element 11:16 29:18 30:14 **elements** 8:4,6 27:3 27:13 employ 18:8 **employee** 6:22,25 7:14 9:2 10:13 17:15 18:25 19:9 19:11,12,14 26:18 26:18,19 27:2 31:10

employees 17:9 employer 19:1 empowered 15:1,2 encourage 7:24 engaged 10:13 **entitled** 11:6 27:4 29:3 enumerated 17:10 especially 6:3 esquire 2:4,9,15 establish 19:24 20:1.21 21:2 30:1 establishing 20:19 everybody 3:25:11 34:2 evidence 7:3 exactly 16:20 example 31:9 exhibit 12:23 13:1 13:12 14:3.11.13 **exhibits** 13:15,17 existence 27:13 expedience 17:12 expedient 15:10 expires 35:17 **explain** 4:8,10 12:2

f

f 35:1 faced 25:3 fact 18:21 32:17 facts 12:13 21:13 25:6 31:7 factual 31:19 32:13 failed 12:12 15:21 15:23 23:9 familiar 6:19 famously 12:8 **favor** 7:22 federal 19:14 feel 25:9

[feels - jobs] Page 39

feels 25:9
filed 5:19 8:2,3
25:16
filing 15:3
final 23:13,14
finality 24:9,19
25:13
finally 7:8 17:20
find 23:17 28:4
fine 33:11
fired 7:10 26:25
firing 19:10
first 3:14,20 8:18
9:1 12:21 14:21
fit 5:8 16:19
five 9:16 27:3 28:2
28:5
flagler 1:19
florida 1:3,20 2:7
2:12,18 4:3,4,20
5:7,25 6:10,12 7:20
8:20 9:17 11:6
13:11 26:9 27:4,23
30:13 35:3
following 3:1 8:15
10:12 26:16
follows 8:20
foregoing 35:7
former 8:23 30:22
fort 2:18
forward 3:13 6:3
7:24 27:10,11
32:10,19
found 10:3 18:15
20:21 27:22 29:13
four 6:17 20:1
fourth 14:15 25:2
front 8:1 26:13
30:25
I .

g
gg 35:16
give 12:1 15:19
23:8 24:22
given 11:10
gives 8:5
go 3:14,21,22,24
4:13 6:3 7:10 12:6
15:11 17:25 18:18
27:10,11 28:6
32:10,14,19 33:8
goal 5:2
goes 17:14 24:7,13
25:23,24
going 4:6 8:13,15
9:11 11:25 12:1
14:14,16 19:7
26:15 32:9
gonzalez 35:6,15
good 3:4,7,15,17
17:23 33:24
gospel 27:7
governed 6:23
governing 23:12,15
23:23
governs 15:18
grant 16:24
granted 12:21
granting 31:25
great 3:10,17 26:13
grimaldi 22:20
grind 15:6
gross 7:4,16 10:4
10:16 17:5
grounds 23:11
guys 11:25
h
- -

half 26:23

halt 15:6

handed 14:8 handle 32:11 **happen** 29:23 happened 28:21 29:19 32:24 **happy** 12:10 harm 17:20 headed 33:20 hear 21:25 22:13 heard 14:21 hearing 16:17 **held** 15:17 helfman 2:16 hello 3:25 33:22 hi 33:23 **high** 6:6,8 31:3 **highlight** 9:15,25 **holding** 25:1,2 holds 13:11 hole 16:20 honor 3:4,7,15,18 4:1 11:23 12:5 14:25 22:1 24:24 26:1,16,17 30:18 33:3,25 honorable 1:16 horrific 8:25 how's 18:23 hundred 11:8 hyperbolic 16:18 i i.e. 10:22 illegality 10:5 imagine 5:10 immaterially 29:14 immediately 15:7 importance 24:8 important 24:8 importantly 29:1 imposed 16:6

improper 19:18,19 31:14 include 23:14 **included** 26:20,21 inconsistency 26:23 incorrect 4:6 5:5 5:22,23,24 6:1 increase 28:14,19 inference 27:5 information 12:12 15:16 21:22 22:6.7 22:9,14 25:5 **intent** 18:24 **interest** 17:12 22:8 24:19 internal 28:13,17 29:11 interpretation 5:23 interpreter 33:9 intervening 20:4 29:10,15 investigate 7:8 investigation 7:6 7:18 19:19 28:18 29:11 31:11 investigations 10:17 issue 4:13 5:10 13:9 13:10 15:15,15 21:23 25:15 27:16 30:2,2,3 31:24 issues 14:18 24:17 29:9 31:2,18 32:11 32:13 item 21:7 **job** 10:19 21:20 22:3 27:3 jobs 22:4

[judge - move] Page 40

judge 3:17 4:6,12	keep 3:23 14:13,16	33:15	miami 1:3,10,18,20
4:13,17,20,22,24	kind 6:13	looked 29:9	2:6,7,12 3:9 35:4
5:4,7,10,14,16,19	king 12:8	looking 24:6,7	miami's 8:22
6:2,9 8:1 12:18,20	know 4:1 5:16 6:5	30:23	michael 2:4,5,15
12:21 13:23 14:12	6:9,9,22 11:19 13:3	looks 8:18 26:8	3:6,8
14:17,20 15:1,22	15:5 16:1,7,25	32:15	mind 27:21 28:6
16:5,5,11,16,23	19:16 20:24 22:23	lost 27:2	minute 11:1 26:2
18:14,15 19:21	26:11 27:20 29:17	lot 9:5 24:20 32:6	29:4
20:11,12,20 21:3	32:23 33:14	m	minutes 3:12 4:7
21:11,16,17,21	knowledge 16:25		9:7,8,10 11:24 12:2
22:15 23:3 24:3	knows 19:13	magic 4:25	12:7 24:22
25:7,10,11 27:21	kuehne 2:9,10 3:4	malfeasance 7:4,16	miranda 33:21
29:8,13 30:4 32:3	3:5,17 4:21 25:16	9:18,23 10:5,15	misconduct 8:24
32:23 33:17,21	33:5,17	17:5 31:12,13,14	9:19,23 10:5,8,16
judge's 5:25	l	manager 19:7	18:4 26:20,24 27:2
judgement 4:19	_	mandated 26:10	misfeasance 7:4,16
13:9 18:22 23:14	lack 16:13	28:1	17:5
26:15 30:2 31:21	lag 31:23	manual 10:3	misinformation
32:12	lakes 2:6,7	martin 1:16	26:22,23
judges 5:15 16:20	language 29:17	materially 29:23	mismanagement
judicial 1:2 15:4,6	lauderdale 2:18	matter 26:8 29:24	7:5,16 10:4,16
24:18	law 2:10 5:7,25 6:5	matters 15:20 23:9	month 19:22 20:2
june 1:22	7:20 11:6 13:11	mean 6:13 9:8	27:16,19 28:18,21
jury 18:20 26:13	17:4 23:15,23 25:3	16:15 17:14 18:10	31:23
30:3 31:19 32:14	26:9 27:4,23 29:25	18:20 19:16 30:20	months 9:21 19:4
justice 3:21 5:3	30:3,13 31:20	33:7,12	20:1,5 29:24 31:16
22:8 24:9,16 33:21	lawsuit 19:15	meet 5:1	32:25
k	lays 6:13	meets 8:3	morning 3:4,7,16
	leading 28:14	memo 10:7 11:16	3:17
kantor 2:15 3:7,8	led 20:15	12:23 13:7,20,22	motion 3:14 4:19
11:23 12:4,8,18	left 17:11	13:23,24,25 18:3,9	5:12,18 6:4,7 11:21
13:11,17 14:2,5,11	letter 33:12	21:7 26:18,19	12:21 13:5,9 14:22
14:15,17 15:12	letting 32:19	memorandum	15:24,24 18:22
16:23 17:3,8,23	levels 27:1	10:24 13:19	20:19,22 25:4
18:2,5,10,14 19:3,9	liberally 7:21 30:14	merely 8:3	26:11,14 27:7 30:5
19:21 20:11,17	litigated 6:18	merit 28:14,19	30:6 31:1,2,5,18
21:1,11,16,19 22:1	litigation 24:11	merits 23:16,25	32:1,2,4,8
22:5,15,17 23:2,6	31:4,4 32:10	25:18	motions 15:3,18
24:3,24 26:1 29:6,8	little 24:21	message 24:25	23:12 29:25
30:18 32:3,6,20,23	long 7:13 24:10	met 27:13	move 3:13 24:15,23
33:3,18	look 11:4 22:24	metro 33:20	
	23:22 30:24 31:2		
	Varitart La	1 0 1	

multiple 10:21	number 1:5 6:21	p	plainly 10:4
28:11 31:24	10:19 11:17 23:11	p.a. 2:5,10	plaintiff 1:8 2:3 3:5
municipality 6:23	27:24	p.a. 2.3,10 page 8:13,17,19	7:22 11:5 13:19
murphy 12:20,21	numerous 16:10	10:22,23 14:11,11	16:15 20:21 25:15
13:23 14:17,20	0	14:13	30:6
15:22 16:6,11,21	obligation 21:12	pages 8:11 10:11	plaintiff's 21:12
18:15 20:12,20	observation 12:11	11:8 29:2	29:21
21:3,16,18,21 25:7	24:13	panel 26:21	plaintiffs 12:11
25:10,11 29:8,13	observed 12:9	paragraph 8:12,16	16:9
30:4	14:25 16:13,16	8:16,19 9:1,16 10:1	plead 11:5 18:23
murphy's 27:21	24:4,16	10:6 14:15 24:6	21:12 27:3,13 29:2
n	obvious 19:8	paragraphs 8:12	pleaded 4:2
nauseam 10:10	obviously 33:14	9:25 10:10 11:8	pleading 7:22 8:14
necessary 28:4	officer 19:20	28:11,16	11:11
32:11	officials 8:24	part 9:24 10:6	pleadings 4:8 6:10
need 3:12 8:8 32:22	oh 22:25	participate 10:9	11:14 20:23 27:24
33:1,9	okay 3:2,10 11:18	participated 7:6,17	pleads 10:11
needed 8:7	12:15 14:13 17:6	participating 10:17	please 3:3 30:9
negated 13:1	17:13,25 20:25	particular 10:23	point 3:22 8:15
neglect 17:5	23:5 24:20,23 26:2	parties 15:3	24:5,12 27:18 31:5
neil 1:7 3:5	30:8,17 33:4,16	party 24:15	pointed 16:11
never 28:6	once 31:1	pay 28:14,19	police 8:23 9:1,22
new 5:10,16 9:9	opinion 27:11	peg 16:19	10:19 18:4,4 19:6
12:3,9,12,12,13,16	31:18	pending 6:18	21:8
15:15,22 16:1	opportunity 15:20	people 7:24	position 4:1,16
21:22 22:5,8,13	23:8	perjury 26:21,24	possible 11:4 17:20
23:13,19,20 25:5,7	opposed 4:14 16:2	person 6:21	precludes 5:9
30:7,24	opposing 26:12	pervasive 10:8	predecessor 4:5
nice 3:19 34:1	opposite 13:7	phase 27:8,9	prefer 33:7
nidelis 35:6,15	order 12:22 16:12	pizzi 2:4,5 3:6,15	prejudice 12:25
nilly 5:15	23:3,15 33:6,13	3:20,25 4:16 5:14	premature 31:3
nine 9:21 19:4 20:2	original 15:24	6:8,15 8:10 9:6,11	prepare 33:6
20:5 27:16,19	outline 10:22	9:15 11:2,20 13:2	prerogative 22:7
28:21 29:24 31:16	outlined 16:24	15:21 16:24 17:11	presented 15:16 presumed 30:16
31:23 32:24	outside 3:20 11:13	19:13 25:8,17 26:4	presumed 30.16 pretty 6:6,8 17:2
north 1:10 3:9 8:21	11:20	27:17,23 28:7 29:1	24:1 26:7
notes 35:9	overlooked 15:20	29:17 30:10,13	prevailing 6:5
nothing's 26:15	15:23 23:9	33:20,24	prevents 5:7
notice 25:16	overwhelmingly	pizzi's 14:23	previous 32:1
novo 30:25	5:21	place 1:14 20:5	previous 32.1
		25:24 31:16,17	

28:13 promotional 9:21 proof 21:7 properly 18:23 protect 17:9 18:25 protected 10:13 19:23 protection 13:21 protectionism 13:20 protective 18:6 19:5 proven 32:13 provided 14:6 purpose 15:17 23:7 25:4 put 28:5 q question 18:21,21 20:25 33:17 questions 11:19 24:21 quote 13:18 15:19 19:3 24:8,12 quoted 23:6 r	23:21 ready 11:22 really 30:24 reason 12:24 21:9 28:8 reasonable 11:10 27:5 reasons 21:10 24:11 received 7:19 24:25 recited 13:3 recognized 24:10 reconsider 4:14,23 5:12,17,18 22:13 24:1 32:1 reconsideration 21:25 record 3:3,6 5:21 6:1 16:4,8,17 referring 13:16 refused 10:8 regards 18:3 regulation 17:4 rehearing 14:22 15:3,15,18 23:11 23:13 24:15 25:5 31:1 32:4 related 20:15	reporter 33:10 35:6,15 reporting 8:24 10:14 reports 10:7 18:25 represents 19:13 request 32:3 required 6:16,20 27:5 28:2 29:14 requirement 16:3,7 25:13 29:22 requires 24:17 25:13 resolved 19:15 respond 32:20 result 10:18 17:20 18:12 retaliation 7:12,19 10:21,24 28:25 retaliatory 8:22 20:16 21:10 31:15 review 17:17 reviewed 10:2 12:20 13:23 revisit 22:7 revisiting 15:14 rigged 26:20,21 right 3:21 4:17,21	24:14 ruled 33:2 rules 4:13 26:7 ruling 4:6,23,24 5:5,13,16 6:1,4 21:18 26:8 rulings 4:18 s sargent 9:22 satisfied 29:20,22 satisfying 29:18 saying 18:20 24:18 says 4:25 6:15 9:2 9:16 13:7 22:24 23:18,19,24 32:24 scope 11:20 seconds 30:9,11 section 4:4 15:5 see 3:18,19,23 5:8 12:10 20:9 21:17 33:24 34:1 seeing 3:20 seek 5:2 seen 20:8 send 33:6 senior 8:24 separation 19:23
quoted 23:6	31:1 32:4	rigged 26:20,21	
r 35:1	related 20:15 relevant 20:19	right 3:21 4:17,21 4:23 5:6,6,8 6:14 6:14 7:7 12:18 14:9	separate 19.14 separation 19:23 20:3

[sergeant - wants] Page 43

sergeant 10:20	started 30:20	team 33:18	transcription 35:9		
serota 2:16 3:8	starting 24:4	tell 3:25 9:14 14:1	transferred 7:11		
shooting 19:19	state 4:20 6:22 35:3	14:7 21:21 23:21	trial 15:19 22:6		
shootings 9:1	stated 4:3 11:7,11	33:22,22	23:8,13 26:13		
shorter 12:4	31:8 32:14	telling 21:24	tried 20:4		
show 6:21,24 7:2,5	statement 8:6	tells 19:22	true 11:9 13:4 27:6		
7:8,13 17:19 20:9	states 8:19 10:2,6	ten 3:11 9:7 11:24	28:1,3 35:8		
20:13 28:15 31:22	10:23 19:22 23:20	12:2,7 30:8,10	truth 5:3 27:7		
33:12	stating 21:5	termination 28:8,9	truths 26:23		
shows 21:8	statue 4:5 6:10,12	28:15	try 15:10		
sic 24:17	6:19,24 7:1,23 9:17	thank 3:15 11:18	turn 5:16		
side 9:8	10:12 11:16 18:6	11:24 29:5 30:16	turns 8:16		
sides 33:15	statute 13:22 16:25	33:25 34:1	twenty 33:3,4		
signature 35:13	17:7,8 27:12 29:15	thing 5:6,8 6:20	two 9:25 10:19		
similar 16:24	29:19 30:15 32:18	26:10 29:19	24:22 28:24		
simply 14:19 15:25	statute's 17:2	things 10:4 11:12	typical 8:1		
16:15 23:16,25	statutory 16:7	14:25 30:19	u		
25:20	street 1:19 2:11	think 5:14,15,18,20			
sir 24:3	stuff 19:12	6:11,16 11:3 12:10	ultimately 15:9		
site 22:25	submitted 8:12	17:11,13 21:14	33:13		
so.3d 22:20	successor 4:17,20	22:11 23:2 24:24	understanding		
solomon 12:8	4:22 14:25	27:10 28:20,22	21:19		
somebody 23:5	suite 2:6,11,17	33:8,9	understated 24:10		
soon 7:21	summarize 26:3	thinks 26:6	unintelligible 11:10		
sorry 27:17 33:10	summary 4:18 13:8	third 15:16 22:18	united 19:22		
sort 21:6	17:24 18:22 26:15	22:21 25:1,14,18	unjustified 8:25		
sorts 26:24	30:2 31:20 32:12	thought 19:18	unquote 13:18		
southeast 2:11	sun 12:10	three 6:18 9:7,10	15:21 19:3		
speak 28:23	superiors 17:18	19:22,25 24:22	V		
special 5:1	supersedes 13:12	27:1,15,19 28:25	verbal 10:7		
specifically 16:12	support 12:19	31:17	verbally 10:14,15		
16:16 18:15 20:12	supported 16:4,8	time 3:20 5:10	26:19,19		
20:20 21:3 25:7	supreme 19:5,21	14:21 15:4 24:22	viola 27:2		
29:9	sure 17:2 25:16	31:23,25 32:16,20	violation 17:4		
square 16:19	33:11	32:22 33:1,10	visiting 24:17		
stage 7:22 11:11	system 24:9	times 4:17 26:17	vs 1:9 22:20		
26:15 31:3	t	27:3 28:2,5	W		
standard 6:6,8 18:5	t 35:1,1	today 25:21	want 9:10,14 12:6		
31:3	take 3:11 4:7	told 21:14	26:4,5 28:23 30:20		
standards 8:14	taken 1:14 7:9	transcript 35:8	wants 29:17		
14:24	taken 1.14 /.9				
	Veriteyt Legal Solutions				

[way - zilber] Page 44

way 10:20 15:1	Z
25:23,24,24 29:4	zilber 1:16
weeks 28:25 31:17	
weiss 2:16 3:8	
went 11:13 31:10	
west 1:19	
whistle 4:4 6:14	
7:13,15 8:2,4,20	
10:8,24 11:5 12:23	
13:18,21 16:22	
18:24 28:17 32:18	
whistleblower	
16:23 17:7,8,22	
18:6 31:10	
whistleblowers	
27:12	
whistleblowing	
28:10	
willy 5:15	
word 30:10	
words 13:13	
work 17:16	
worked 6:25	
working 7:14	
write 11:15 33:8	
writing 7:3 10:14	
10:15	
written 33:6	
wrong 12:17 16:5,6	
21:21 22:10,11	
23:16,21,24,25	
25:10 31:8	
wrongdoing 17:19	
18:19	
wrote 26:18	
y	
yeah 11:2 23:4 26:4	
years 6:17	